Journal of Innovation in Educational Assessment
Vol. 8 No. 1, May (2026)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.66545/hfxhk895

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

Received: 2nd March 2026
Accepted: 24th April 2026

journal.iaiiea.org

TRANSFORMING EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND IMPLICATIONS FOR NIGERIAN EDUCATION

Bernardine N. Nweze

Department of Science Education, Faculty of Education, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu

Abstract

This paper explores the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational evaluation, aiming to enhance student learning outcomes and teaching practices. AI's capabilities in personalised learning, automated assessment, and real-time feedback offer opportunities to address traditional evaluation methods' limitations. Using a conceptual approach, this article examines AI's benefits and challenges in educational assessment, highlighting its potential to revolutionise teaching and learning practices. Key findings suggest AI can improve student learning outcomes by providing tailored learning experiences, identifying knowledge gaps, and facilitating data-driven decision-making. However, concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access must be addressed. The article discusses AI's role in enhancing assessment accuracy, efficiency, and effectiveness, while ensuring fairness and transparency. Recommendations include careful consideration of AI's benefits and challenges, ongoing research and development, and informed decision-making by educators, policymakers, and researchers to support student learning and success. Ultimately, AI has the potential to transform education, but its integration requires thoughtful planning, collaboration, and a commitment to equity and excellence. By examining AI's potential in educational assessment, this article contributes to the discussion on the future of education and AI's role in shaping teaching and learning practices.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, educational assessment, personalized learning, student learning outcomes, educational technology

To cite this article:

Nweze, B. N. (2026). Transforming Educational Assessment with Artificial Intelligence:Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for Nigerian Education. *Journal of Innovation in Educational Assessment, 8*(1), 174-186. https://doi.org/10.66545/hfxhk895

* Corresponding author:

Department of Science Education, Faculty of Education, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu. Email: ngozi.nweze@esut.edu.ng

Introduction

Educational assessment is a cornerstone of the learning process, serving as a critical mechanism for evaluating student understanding, guiding instructional decisions, and ensuring educational objectives are met (Brown & Knight, 2012; Stiggins, 2005). Traditional assessment methods, however, face criticisms for their limitations in capturing complex skills, catering to diverse learners, and providing timely, personalised feedback (Gikandi, Morrow, & Davis, 2011; Boud & Falchikov, 2006; Nieminen, 2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI), a rapidly evolving field involving machines that perform tasks requiring human intelligence like understanding language, recognising patterns, and decision-making (Naqvi, 2020; Wardat, Tashtoush, Alali, & Jarrah, 2023), has emerged as a transformative force with the potential to revolutionise educational assessment (Luckin & Holmes, 2016; Holmes , Bialik, & Fadel, 201 9).

This paper addresses the need to strategically integrate AI into educational assessment to enhance learning outcomes, address inherent challenges, and ensure equitable, effective education for diverse student populations. The study explores the integration of AI in educational assessment, discussing conceptual frameworks, potential applications, challenges, and implications for Nigerian education, with a focus on context-specific AI adoption strategies. Conceptually, AI integration in educational assessment is grounded in cognitive learning theory (Piaget) and constructivist learning theory (Vygotsky and Piaget), which emphasise mental processing, personalised assessment, and knowledge construction through engagement (Luckin & Holmes, 2016; Maghsudi, Lan, Xu & van Der Schaar, 2021).

The scope of this paper covers AI's conceptual frameworks, applications in educational assessment, challenges, and implications for Nigerian education, highlighting opportunities for addressing specific hurdles in assessment. AI in education encompasses technologies like machine learning and natural language processing that can personalize learning, automate administrative tasks, and support educators (Huang, 2018; Nazaretsky Ariely, Cukurova, & Alexandron, 2022). Key applications of AI in assessment include personalised learning plans, automated grading, predictive analytics, and tools such as automated essay scoring (Owan et al., 2023; Adiguzel, Kaya, & Cansu, 2023).

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute insights into leveraging AI for transformative educational assessment practices. The study extends existing literature by exploring strategic AI integration in educational assessment, addressing equitable learning outcomes, ethical considerations, and implementation barriers in diverse contexts (Owan Abang, Idika, Etta, & Bassey, 2023; González-Calatayud et al., 2021). Specifically, it highlights context-specific AI adoption strategies, emphasising the need for tailored approaches to address

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

unique challenges in different educational settings. By exploring AI's conceptual frameworks and applications, this study reveals opportunities to address specific hurdles in educational assessment, ultimately informing strategies for AI integration in educational assessment to improve learning outcomes

Current Challenges in Educational Assessment and the Need for AI Integration

Educational assessment faces multifaceted challenges stemming from traditional methods' limitations, difficulties in measuring complex skills, and the evolving role of technology. Traditional assessment approaches, including standardised tests, written exams, and multiple-choice questions, have been criticised for emphasising lower-order thinking over critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving (Black & Wiliam, 2009; Maclellan, 2004). These methods often lack authenticity and real-world context (Wiggins, 1990), can perpetuate inequities due to biases, cultural insensitivity, and differential access to test preparation (Ercikan Por, & Guo, 2023; Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022), and provide limited feedback to students, hindering improvement (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Furthermore, traditional assessments are resource-intensive, with manual grading prone to human error, bias, or inconsistency (Shermis & Hamner, 2013; Ercikan et al., 2023), highlighting inherent shortcomings that impact their effectiveness in capturing student learning accurately.

Delving deeper into these limitations reveals several critical aspects. Traditional assessments tend to have a narrow focus, emphasising rote memorisation over complex skills like critical thinking and creativity (Maclellan, 2004). They often suffer from a lack of authenticity, limiting real-world application of knowledge (Wiggins, 1990), and can exhibit bias and cultural insensitivity, disadvantaging certain student groups (Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022; Ercikan et al., 2023). Additionally, the feedback from traditional assessments is typically limited, which hinders students' ability to improve (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). These methods also struggle with measuring complex competencies such as collaboration and problem-solving (Griffin & Care, 2015; Scoular & Care, 2018; Ydesen, Ruan, Aderet-German,

Caride & Milner (2022) and issues like test anxiety (von der Embse, Barterian, & Segool, 2013) further underscore their constraints, pointing to a pressing need for more effective, equitable assessment strategies.

Against this backdrop, technology is transforming assessment landscapes with tools like online proctoring, digital portfolios, and AI-driven analytics, though raising concerns about equity, accessibility, potential bias, and data privacy (Bennett, 2001; Scully & O'Leary, 2018; Regan & Jesse, 2019). Significantly, AI's potential in enabling more adaptive, personalised assessments remains underexplored, positioning it as a promising avenue for addressing

traditional assessment limitations. Given these challenges and the transformative potential of technologies like AI, there arises a compelling need for research exploring effective integration of AI in educational assessment to enhance equity, accuracy, and learning outcomes, underscoring the rationale for this study seeking insights into leveraging AI for transformative, equitable educational assessment practices. These challenges highlight the limitations of traditional assessment methods, making a case for exploring alternative approaches – like artificial intelligence in educational assessment. In view of these challenges, it's clear that assessment needs a boost. Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessment could be the key to more effective, equitable evaluation

Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessment

Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming the educational landscape, particularly in assessment and evaluation. AI refers to the field of computer science that involves creating computer programs capable of imitating intelligent behaviour and ideally enhancing human-like abilities (Naqvi, 2020). AI technologies include machine learning, natural language processing, and robotics, which can personalise learning by adapting content and pace to individual student needs (Zawacki-Richter, Martin, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019).

AI-powered tools can automate various aspects of the assessment process, including scoring and grading, adaptive testing, and learning analytics. For instance, automated scoring and grading tools, such as Turnitin's AI-powered software, use natural language processing (NLP) to analyse essays and provide grammar, spelling, and syntax feedback (Adiguzel et al., 2023). AI-powered adaptive testing and assessment tools, like DreamBox and Newton, use AI to provide adaptive math instruction. Additionally, AI-powered learning analytics tools can analyse student learning data and provide insights into student performance, engagement, and learning outcomes (Bassey, Ubi, Anagbogu, & Owan, 2020).

The integration of AI in educational assessment offers several benefits, including increased efficiency and accuracy in scoring and grading (Adiguzel et al., 2023); personalised feedback and learning recommendations for students; insights into student performance, learning outcomes, and instructional effectiveness for educators (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020); enhanced assessment security and cheating detection; and the ability to assess complex skills like critical thinking and creativity. AI can be applied in various ways, including personalized assessment tailoring to individual learning paths and needs (Nieminen, 2024); real-time feedback supporting formative assessment (Black & Wiliam, 2018); automated scoring and grading; and adaptive testing adjusting difficulty based on student performance (Mena-Guacas et al., 2023), and AI-powered learning analytics providing insights into student learning (Bassey et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2019).

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

Given the transformative potential of AI in addressing limitations of traditional assessments, this study explores effective integration of AI in educational assessment to enhance equity, accuracy, and learning outcomes in diverse educational contexts like Nigeria, seeking insights into leveraging AI for transformative, equitable educational assessment practices balancing technology's benefits with ethical considerations like bias mitigation and data privacy (Regan & Jesse, 2019; Baker & Hawn, 2022). The transformation of AI in assessment leads to exploring its practical application.

Potential Applications of AI in Educational Assessment

Artificial intelligence (AI) has transformative potential in educational assessment, enabling more personalised, efficient, and effective evaluation methods. AI applications include:

- Personalized assessment tailoring evaluations to individual learning paths, needs, and trajectories (Baker, Martin, & Rossi, 2016; Bernacki, Greene, & Lobczowski, 2021; Nieminen, 2024; Mena-Guacas, Urueña Rodríguez, Santana Trujillo, Gómez-Galán, & López-Meneses, 2023)
- Real-time feedback supporting formative assessment and guiding learning adjustments (Black & Wiliam, 2018; VanLehn, 2011; Koedinger & Corbett, 2006; Huang et al., 2023)
- Enhanced assessment integrity through proctoring solutions and detecting academic dishonesty like plagiarism, (Adiguzel et al., 2023)
- Evaluating complex skills like critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving (Pellegrino, 2023; Markauskaite, Marrone, Poquet, Knight, Martinez-Maldonado, et al., 2022; Griffin & Care, 2015; Scoular & Care, 2018).
- AI-powered learning analytics providing insights into student performance, engagement, and outcomes (Bassey et al., 2020; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).
- Automated grading and adaptive testing adjust difficulty based on student performance, increasing efficiency and accuracy (Adiguzel et al., 2023; Mena-Guacas et al., 2023).

Notably, AI can address traditional assessment limitations like bias, lack of authenticity, and limited feedback (Ercikan et al., 2023; Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022; Wiggins, 1990). Considering AI's capabilities and ethical aspects like bias mitigation and data privacy (Regan & Jesse, 2019; Baker & Hawn, 2022), this study explores effective integration of AI in educational assessment, particularly in contexts like Nigeria, to enhance equity, accuracy, and learning outcomes. AI offers exciting possibilities for assessment. However, there are challenges to

address.

Challenges and Limitations of AI-Powered Assessment

AI-powered assessment tools, while promising, face several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed for effective implementation in educational settings like Nigeria. Key concerns include bias and fairness, as AI algorithms can perpetuate biases present in training data, leading to inaccurate and unfair assessments (Cukurova & Luckin, 2018; Simón, Palomo, & Echeita, 2024; Walker, Olivera-Aguilar, Lehman, Laitusis, Guzman-Orth, & Gholson, 2023). Mitigating these biases is essential to ensure equity and fairness in assessments for all students, regardless of background or demographics. Additionally, technical challenges and infrastructure requirements pose limitations, involving critical aspects like data quality, management, scalability, and robust infrastructure to handle large volumes of data and diverse educational settings (Bassey et al., 2020). Teacher training and support are also crucial for effective AI-powered assessment integration, necessitating educator education on AI capabilities and ongoing support for implementation (Holmes et al., 2019; Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022). Addressing these challenges is vital for reliable operation and the benefits of AI-powered assessments. Potential future directions include integrating with learning management systems, developing sophisticated AI models understanding context and nuance, and supporting competency-based education assessing skills beyond traditional metrics. Given these aspects, there arises a compelling need for research exploring effective AI integration in educational assessment in Nigeria, balancing benefits with addressing limitations like bias, technical requirements, and teacher support, to enhance equity, accuracy, and learning outcomes. Addressing these challenges is crucial for AI-powered assessment to reach its full potential. So, what does the future hold?

Future Directions for AI-Powered Assessment

AI-powered assessment has several promising future directions that can enhance educational evaluation and learning. Key areas include integration with Learning Management Systems (LMS), combining AI with LMS for seamless assessment and enhanced user experience (Holmes et al., 2019), allowing for more streamlined and effective assessment processes. Development of sophisticated AI models leveraging advanced machine learning techniques and integration with technologies like blockchain and Internet of Things (IoT) can expand AI's capabilities in understanding context and nuance in assessments (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Additionally, AI can support competency-based education, enabling personalised learning pathways and competency-based progression focusing on assessing competencies over traditional metrics (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020; Pellegrino, 2023), aligning with needs for assessing complex skills like critical thinking and creativity. These directions suggest AI can

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

transform assessment practices, making them more personalised, efficient, and aligned with learning outcomes. Given these potentials, exploring AI integration in educational assessment, particularly in contexts like Nigeria, can enhance equity, accuracy, and learning outcomes, addressing challenges like bias, technical needs, and teacher support.

Conclusion

AI-powered assessment offers transformative opportunities for education, offering enhanced personalised learning and assessment accuracy. Key applications include personalized assessment and learning (Baker et al., 2016; Nieminen, 2024), real-time feedback (Black & Wiliam, 2018; Huang et al., 2023), enhanced security and cheating detection (Adiguzel et al., 2023), and competency-based education support (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020; Pellegrino, 2023). However, addressing challenges like bias (Cukurova & Luckin, 2018; Simón, Palomo, & Echeita, 2024), infrastructure (Bassey et al., 2020), and teacher training and support (Holmes et al., 2019; Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022) is crucial. underscore the importance of careful implementation and ongoing development. Future directions suggest promising avenues for integration with learning management systems (Holmes et al., 2019), development of sophisticated AI models (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019), and support for competency-based education. To harness AI's potential, stakeholders must collaborate to ensure equity, fairness, and effectiveness. This study's findings have implications for policy and practice, informing strategies for AI integration in educational assessment, particularly in Nigerian context, to improve learning outcomes.

Implications of AI-Powered Assessment

AI-powered assessment has implications for teachers, students, policymakers, and institutions. Key implications include the following:

- Teachers: Enhanced assessment capabilities (Adiguzel et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2023), need for professional development (Holmes et al., 2019; Levy-Feldman & Libman, 2022), and focus on higher-order skills (Griffin & Care, 2015; Pellegrino, 2023).

- Students: Personalised learning (Baker et al., 2016; Nieminen, 2024), immediate feedback (Black & Wiliam, 2018; VanLehn, 2011), and data privacy concerns (Regan & Jesse, 2019).

- Policymakers: Regulatory frameworks (Baker & Hawn, 2022; Simón Palomo & Echeita, 2024), support for implementation (Holmes et al., 2019), and ensuring equity and fairness (Ercikan et al., 2023; Walker et al., 2023).

- Institutions: Infrastructure and resources (Bassey et al., 2020), collaboration (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019), and addressing ethical considerations (Regan & Jesse, 2019).

References

Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring thetransformative potential of ChatGPT. Contemporary *Educational Technology,15*(3*),* ep429. https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152

Baker, R. S., & Hawn, A. (2022). Algorithmic bias in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 32*(4) 1-41.https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00285-9

Baker, R. S., Martin, T., & Rossi, L. M. (2016). *Educational data mining and learning analytics.* The Wiley Handbook of Cognition and Assessment, 379-396.

Bassey, B. A., Ubi, I. O., Anagbogu, G. E., & Owan, V. J. (2020). Permutation of UTME multiple-choice test items on performance in use of English and mathematics among prospective higher education students. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research, 6(4),* 483-493.https://doi.org/10.32861/jssr.64.483.493

Bennett, R. E. (2001). How the internet will help large-scale assessment. *Educational Measurement:Issues and Practice, 20(2), 5-13.*

Bernacki, M. L., Greene, M. J., & Lobczowski, N. G. (2021). A systematic review of research on personalized learning: Personalized by whom, to what, how, and for what purpose (s)? *Educational Psychology Review, 33(4),* 1675-1715. doi: 10.1007/s10648-021-09615-8

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21, 5-31.* doi: 10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2018). Classroom Assessment and Pedagogy. Assessment in Education: *Principles, Policy & Practice, 25(6),* 551-575. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2018.1441807.

Boud, D., & Falchikov, N. (2006). Aligning assessment with long-term learning. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 31(4),* 399-413. doi: 10.1080/02602930600679050

Brown, S., & Knight, P. (2012). *Assessing learners in higher education*. Routledge.

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

Cukurova, M., & Luckin, R. (2018). *Measuring the impact of emerging technologies in education: A* Springer. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53803-7_81-1

Ercikan, K., Por, H., & Guo, H. (2023). Cross-cultural validity and comparability in assessments of complex constructs. In N. Foster, & M. Piacentini (Eds.), *Innovating assessments to measure and support complex skills.* OECD Publishing.

Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. E. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education, 57(4),* 2333-2351. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.004

González-Calatayud, V., Prendes-Espinosa, P., & Roig-Vila, R. (2021). Artificial Intelligence for Student Assessment: A Systematic Review. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(12), 5467. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11125467

Griffin, P., & Care, E. (2015). *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills: Methods and approaches.* Springer.

Halaweh, M. (2023). ChatGPT in education: Strategies for responsible implementation. *Contemporary Educational Technology, 15(2),* ep421. https:// doi.org/10.30935/ cedtech/13036

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81- 112. https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign. https://curriculumredesign. org/wp-content/uploads/AIED-Book-ExcerptCCR.pdf

Huang, A. Y., Lu, O. H., & Yang, S. J. (2023a). Effects of artificial intelligence-enabled personalized ecommendations on learners' learning engagement, motivation, and outcomes in a flipped classroom. *Computers & Education, 194, 104684.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104684

Huang, R., et al. (2023b). AI in education: A review of the literature. *Journal of Educational Technology*

Development and Exchange, 15(2), 1-20.

Huang, S.-P. (2018). Effects of using artificial intelligence teaching system for environmental education on environmental knowledge and attitude. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(7),* 3277-3284. *https://doi.org/10. 29333/ ejmste/91248*

Huang, X., Zou, D., Cheng, G., Chen, X., & Xie, H. (2023b). Trends, research issues and applications of artificial intelligence in language education. *Educational Technology & Society, 26(1)*, 112-131. https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202301_26(1).0009

Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Competency-based education and artificial intelligence. *Journalof Educational Computing Research, 62(4),* 451-467. doi: 10.1177/0735633120976561

Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. (2006). *Cognitive tutors: Technology bringing learning sciences to the classroom*. The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences, 61-77.

Levy-Feldman, I., & Libman, Z. (2022). One size does not fit all: Educational assessment in a multicultural and intercultural world. *Intercultural Education, 33(4),* 380–390.

Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2019). Designing educational technologies in the age of AI: A learning sciences-driven approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(6),* 2824-2838. *https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12861*

Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

Maclellan, E. (2004). How convincing is alternative assessment for use in higher education? *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 29(3),* 311-321. doi: 10.1080/0260293042000188267

Maghsudi, S., Lan, A., Xu, J., & van Der Schaar, M. (2021). Personalized education in the artificial intelligence era: What to expect next. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 38(3),* 37–50. doi:10.1109/MSP.2021.3055032

Markauskaite, L., *et al*. (2022) Rethinking the Entwinement between Artificial Intelligence and

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

Human Learning: What Capabilities Do Learners Need for a World with AI? *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, Article ID: 100056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100056

Markauskaite, L., Marrone, R., Poquet, O., Knight, S., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Howard, S., et al. (2022). Rethinking the entwinement between artificial intelligence and human learning: What capabilities do learners need for a world with AI? Computers & Education: Artificial ntelligence, 3, 100056. doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100056

Mena-Guacas, A. F., Urueña Rodríguez, J. A., Santana Trujillo, D. M., Gómez-Galán, J., & López-Meneses, E. (2023). Collaborative learning and skill development for educational growth of artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *Contemporary Educational Technology, 15(3)*,ep428.https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13123

Naqvi, A. (2020). *Artificial intelligence for audit, forensic accounting, and valuation: A strategic perspective*. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/978111960 1906

Nazaretsky, T., Ariely, M., Cukurova, M., & Alexandron, G. (2022). Teachers' trust in AI-powered educational technology and a professional development program to improve it. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 53(4),* 914-931. *https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13232*

Nieminen, J. H. (2024). Assessment for inclusion: Rethinking inclusive assessment in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education, 29(4),* 841-859. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2021.2021395.

Owan, V. J., Owan, M. V., & Ogabor, J. O. (2023). Sitting arrangement and malpractice behaviors among higher education test-takers: On educational assessment in Nigeria. J*ournal of Applied Learning & Teaching, 6*(1), 1-15. *https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt. 2023.6.1.5*

Owan, V. J., Abang, K. B., Idika, D. O., Etta, E. O., & Bassey, B. A. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 19(8).*https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13428

Pellegrino, J. (2023). Introduction: Arguments in support of innovating assessments. In N. Foster, & M. Piacentini (Eds.), *Innovating assessments to measure and support complex skills*. OECD Publishing. Available online: Available online: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/innovating-assessments-to-measure-and-support-complex-skills_e5f3e341-en/full-report/component-13.html#chapter-d1e9373-bdbae0b00d

Prinsloo, P., Slade, S., & Khalil, M. (2022). The answer is (not only) technological:Considering student data privacy in learning analytics. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 53(4),* 876– 893. (link unavailable)

Regan, P. M., & Jesse, J. (2019). Ethical challenges of edtech, big data and personalized learning:Twenty-first century student sorting and tracking. *Ethics Inf. Technol., 21,* 167–179.

Scoular, C., & Care, E. (2018). Assessing collaborative problem solving through a digital platform. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 110(3),* 388-402.

Scully, D., & O'Leary, R. (2018). Online proctoring and the fairness of digital exams. *Journal of Educational Measurement, 55(2),* 147-165.

Shermis, M. D., & Hamner, B. (2013). *Contrasting state-of-the-art automated scoring of essays.* In Handbook of automated essay evaluation (pp. 313–346). Routledge.

Simón, C., Palomo, M. G., & Echeita, G. (2024). Inclusive education and assessment: Paradoxes and challenges. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 39(6),* 803-818.

Simón, C., Palomo, M. G., & Echeita, G. (2024). The Duty to Promote and Inclusive Educational System: A Phenominological Study on the Assessment Proceudures of People with Special Educational Needs in Madrid (Spain) *International Journal of Inclusive Education 28(6):*803-819 https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2021.1968513..

Stiggins, R. (2005). From formative assessment to assessment for learning: A path to success in standards-based schools. *Phi Delta Kappan, 87(4),* 324–328.

VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems,

ISSN: 2705-3830 (Print)
ISSN: 2705-3857 (Online)

and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist, 46(4),* 197–221. von der Embse, N., Barterian, J. and Segool, N. (2013), Test Anxiety Interventions for Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review of Treatment Studies from 2000–2010. *Psychology in the Schools., 50:* 57-71. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.21660

Walker, M. E., Olivera-Aguilar, M., Lehman, B., Laitusis, C., Guzman-Orth, D., & Gholson, M. (2023).*Culturally responsive assessment: Provisional principles.* ETS Research Report Series, 2023, 1–24.

Wardat, Y., Tashtoush, M. A., AlAli, R., & Jarrah, A. M. (2023). ChatGPT: A revolutionary tool for teaching and learning mathematics. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 19(7),* em2286. *https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13272*

Wiggins, G. (1990). The case for authentic assessment. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 2(2),* 1-5

Ydesen, C., Ruan, Y., Aderet-German, T., Caride, E. G., & Milner, A. L. (Eds.). (2022). *Educational Assessment and Inclusive Education: Paradoxes, Perspectives and Potentialities.* Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19004-9.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Martin, V., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F (2019). Systematic Review on Artificial intelligence applications in higher education-where are the educators? *International of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1-27. DOI: 10.1186/s41239-019- 0171-0*